### PUBLIC

# **Code Assessment**

of the scrvUSD Smart Contracts

December 03, 2024

Produced for



by SCHAINSECURITY

# Contents

1	Executive Summary	3
2	Assessment Overview	5
3	Limitations and use of report	12
4	Terminology	13
5	Findings	14
6	Resolved Findings	16
7	Informational	19
8	Notes	23

# **1 Executive Summary**

#### Dear Curve team,

Thank you for trusting us to help Curve with this security audit. Our executive summary provides an overview of subjects covered in our audit of the latest reviewed contracts of scrvUSD according to Scope to support you in forming an opinion on their security risks.

Curve adopted Yearn's vault to distribute rewards to crvUSD holders that deposit their tokens in the vault. The rewards' origin from fees generated by Curve's stablecoin system. If the vault registers a profit, the profit is paid to the users over time by issuing shares to the vault backed by the profit and burning these shares over time.

Throughout the engagement, the communication and cooperation with the Curve and Yearn teams were excellent. The Curve team was responsive and provided the necessary information to conduct the audit efficiently. Besides the audit we also supported the Curve team with questions and feedback on the codebase.

The general subjects covered were proper use of Yearn vault, access control, and correct accounting. Security regarding all the aforementioned subjects is high.

In summary, we find that the codebase provides a high level of security. Yet, it is important to note that security audits are time-boxed and cannot uncover all vulnerabilities. They complement but don't replace other vital measures to secure a project.

The following sections will give an overview of the system, our methodology, the issues uncovered, and how they have been addressed. We are happy to receive questions and feedback to improve our service.

Sincerely yours,

ChainSecurity

# **1.1 Overview of the Findings**

Below we provide a brief numerical overview of the findings and how they have been addressed.

Critical-Severity Findings		0
High-Severity Findings		0
Medium-Severity Findings		1
Code Corrected		1
Low-Severity Findings		2
Acknowledged		2

# **2** Assessment Overview

In this section, we briefly describe the overall structure and scope of the engagement, including the code commit which is referenced throughout this report.

# 2.1 Scope

The assessment was performed on the source code files inside the scrvUSD repository based on the documentation files. The table below indicates the code versions relevant to this report and when they were received.

#### scrvusd

V	Date	Commit Hash	Note
1	18 October 2024	11c78c41d540f216e5064182ebafc9fffd6c21bb	Initial Version
2	04 Novembre 2024	1bb64cfb92513c388df7f1c4d4de87d7bcd438e2	Version 2

#### snekmate

V	Date	Commit Hash	Note
1	26 June 2024	feb2dc084c7d817b0d93cbd533396881ba24bb30	v0.1.0

For the Vyper smart contracts, The compiler versions 0.3.7 and 0.4.0 were chosen.

#### scrvusd

All files in the contracts directory were considered in scope for the assessment.

For the contracts in the contracts/yearn directory, only changes with the yearn vault v3 repository as of commit 953f8b663ed2658c9cc937d380e3b6beefdecd18 were considered in scope as the latter is assumed correct in the scope of this assessment.

#### snekmate v0.1.0

- src/snekmate/auth/access\_control.vy
- src/snekmate/auth/interfaces/IAccessControl.vyi

### 2.1.1 Excluded from scope

Any code or files not included in the contracts directory were excluded from the scope of the audit.

## 2.2 System Overview

This system overview describes the initially received version (Version 1)) of the contracts as defined in the Assessment Overview.

Furthermore, in the findings section, we have added a version icon to each of the findings to increase the readability of the report.

Curve adopted Yearn's V3 vault contract to allow users to deposit their crvUSD tokens and earn rewards. The rewards originate from Curve's stablecoin markets fees. The fees are collected from the controllers by the FeeSplitter contract, out of scope for this review, and later forwarded to the RewardsHandler, one of the FeeSplitter's recipient. Anyone can call



RewardsHandler.process\_rewards() to forward the contract's crvUSD balance to the vault and trigger the vault's accounting (vault.process\_report()).

The share of fees, the FeeSplitter forward to the RewardsHandler is capped by some admin defined bound, but is dynamically calculated in RewardsHandler.weight(). The weight is proportional to the ratio between the crvUSD balance of the vault and the token's circulating supply. This effectively awards more reward to the vault when more crvUSD is deposited in it. While anyone can take a snapshot of the ratio, to mitigate manipulation, the value is subject to a time weighted average (TWA) computed in the TWA module. Snapshots can only be added if the minimum time interval (min\_snapshot\_dt\_seconds) has elapsed.

After the vault has accounted for the rewards, it will release them linearly over a specified time period to avoid sudden price per share spikes. The rewards are distributed to the vault's shareholders by inflating the value of the shares. The distribution process is unique in that the vault will issue to itself shares for the rewards and, over time, burn(unlock) these shares, thereby releasing the rewards to the shareholders. Similarly, the vault may issue shares for fees and protocol fees to the accountant and protocol\_fee\_recipient. Another unique feature of this vault version is that the vault itself can act as a strategy. Curve intends to use the Vault without registered strategies. The vault will be the only strategy and therefore is not expected to make any losses. However, in this section, the system will be described for the generic use case too.

One of the vault's most important state variables is total\_idle (and total\_debt in the case of enabled strategies). It accounts for the assets the Vault received from strategies or users. Simultaneously, total\_idle is comparable with the total\_debt of a strategy for the vault (if the vault is its own strategy). To account for any increase (or rare decrease) in total\_idle, the vault will issue shares to itself (and fee receivers). Over time, these shares will be burned to give the assets to the remaining vault shareholders.

In the following sections, we detail the contracts and their functionalities.

### 2.2.1 Vault Factory

The VaultFactory contract implements a factory that can deploy vaults in a permissionless manner. Anyone can call deploy\_new\_vault to create a vault using create\_minimal\_proxy\_to to create an EIP-1167 proxy to the vault implementation. Multiple vaults can be deployed for a single underlying token. Addresses holding the governance role can shut down the factory to stop new deployments, set the protocol fee to be used by deployed vaults, or update the fee recipient. The transfer of the governance role can be done with transferGovernance() and acceptGovernance() in a two-step process.

Unpermissioned functions:

• deploy\_new\_vault(): Anyone can call this to deploy a new vault.

Roles and their privileged functions:

• governance:

S

- shutdown(): To stop new deployments.
- setProtocolFee( ): Set the protocol fee for vaults.
- updateFeeRecipient(): Update the fee recipient for vaults.
- transferGovernance(): Transfer the governance role.
- acceptGovernance(): Accept the governance role transfer.

### 2.2.2 Vault

The system uses Yearn Vault v3.0.3 to implement scrvUSD. Although Yearn's vault is designed to be modular and can be used with various external strategies, strategies are not intended to be used in this system. Instead, rewards are directly sent to the vault by the RewardsHandler and can be accounted for by having the vault report on itself. This means that the strategy and debt management parts of the vault are not used in this system, and it is expected that no strategies will be added to the vault.

The vault can be split into several "modules":

#### 2.2.2.1 Role Management

Several roles are defined in the vault:

- role\_manager, which can assign or revoke roles to addresses.
- ADD\_STRATEGY\_MANAGER, which can add strategies to the vault.
- REVOKE\_STRATEGY\_MANAGER, which can remove strategies from the vault.
- FORCE\_REVOKE\_MANAGER, which can force-remove a strategy causing a loss.
- ACCOUNTANT\_MANAGER, which can set the accountant that assesses fees.
- QUEUE\_MANAGER, which can set the default withdrawal queue.
- REPORTING\_MANAGER, which can call reports for strategies or for the vault itself.
- DEBT\_MANAGER, which can add and remove debt from strategies.
- MAX\_DEBT\_MANAGER, which can set the max debt for a strategy.
- DEPOSIT\_LIMIT\_MANAGER, which can set deposit limits and modules for the vault.
- WITHDRAW\_LIMIT\_MANAGER, which can set the withdraw limit module.
- MINIMUM\_IDLE\_MANAGER, which can set the minimum total idle the vault should keep.
- PROFIT\_UNLOCK\_MANAGER, which can set the profit\_max\_unlock\_time.
- DEBT\_PURCHASER, which can purchase bad debt from the vault.
- EMERGENCY\_MANAGER, which can shut down the vault in an emergency.

The role\_manager can assign or revoke roles using the functions set\_role(), add\_role() and remove\_role(). Additionally, the role manager role can be transferred using the functions transfer\_role\_manager() and accept\_role\_manager().

#### 2.2.2.2 Share Management

This module manages the vault's shares, which represent user ownership of the vault's assets. The vault uses an ERC-20-like mechanism to issue and transfer shares to depositors based on their contributions. The key functions are:

- deposit and mint allow receiving vault shares for depositing assets. The caller can specify an alternative receiver for the shares. In case auto\_allocate is not set, assets will sit idle in the vault (tracked by total\_idle) until invested (if strategies are enabled) or redeemed/withdrawn (if the default behavior with the vault as its own strategy is followed).
- withdraw and redeem enable users to take their share of assets out of the vault. If strategies are present, triggering these functions might cause losses that the user needs to cover.

Shares are ERC20 tokens and can be transferred, and approvals can be given to transfer from. Certain view functions inform users about the current share-to-asset ratio (e.g., convertToShares and convertToAssets). In the case of profits, the amount is converted to shares and credited to the vault. Over time, the vault will burn its shares and thereby release the assets to all remaining shareholders (if the profit\_max\_unlock\_time is non-zero).



#### 2.2.2.3 Setters

Various setters are available to privileged roles in the vault:

- setName(): Can be called by the role\_manager to set the name of the vault.
- setSymbol(): Can be called by the role\_manager to set the symbol of the vault.
- set\_accountant(): Can be called by the ACCOUNTANT\_MANAGER to set the accountant.
- set\_default\_queue() and set\_use\_default\_queue(): Can be called by the
   QUEUE\_MANAGER to set the default withdrawal queue and enable or disable forcing the use of the
   default queue.
- set\_auto\_allocate(): Can be called by the DEBT\_MANAGER to enable or disable automatic allocation of funds to the first strategy in the queue when depositing.
- set\_deposit\_limit(): Can be called by the DEPOSIT\_LIMIT\_MANAGER to set the deposit limit of the vault if no deposit limit module is used.
- set\_deposit\_limit\_module(): Can be called by the DEPOSIT\_LIMIT\_MANAGER to set the
   deposit limit module of the vault.
- set\_withdraw\_limit\_module(): Can be called by the WITHDRAW\_LIMIT\_MANAGER to set the
  withdraw limit module of the vault.
- set\_minimum\_total\_idle(): Can be called by the MINIMUM\_IDLE\_MANAGER to set the
  minimum total idle assets the vault should keep.
- setProfitMaxUnlockTime(): Can be called by the PROFIT\_UNLOCK\_MANAGER to set the profit maximum unlock time, used to smooth profit distribution and avoid bumps in the price per share.

#### 2.2.2.4 Strategy Management

Although this is not intended to be used in scrvUSD, strategies can be added and removed from the vault:

- add\_strategy(): Can be called by an ADD\_STRATEGY\_MANAGER to add a strategy to the vault. If add\_to\_queue is set to true, the strategy is added to the default\_queue, meaning that during withdrawal processing, assets might be withdrawn from the strategy if the vault idle assets and strategies with lower indexes in the queue cannot cover the withdrawal.
- revoke\_strategy(): Can be called by a REVOKE\_STRATEGY\_MANAGER to remove a strategy from the vault. If the strategy is in the default queue, it is removed from the queue. The current debt of the strategy must be 0.
- force\_revoke\_strategy(): Can be called by a FORCE\_REVOKE\_MANAGER to remove a strategy from the vault. The current debt of the strategy can be non-zero.

#### 2.2.2.5 Debt Management

The debt management module focuses on handling the allocation and modification of debt levels for strategies within the vault. It is not relevant in the default use case where no external strategies are used, and the vault serves as its own "strategy". The following functions are available:

- update\_max\_debt\_for\_strategy(): Sets the maximum debt level for a given strategy. Can only be called by the MAX\_DEBT\_MANAGER role.
- buy\_debt(): Allows a governance role to purchase bad debt from the vault in emergencies. Can only be called by the DEBT\_PURCHASER role. (It is listed under reporting management in the code base)
- update\_debt: Rebalances the debt of a strategy based on specified target levels. It will try to invest or close investments to maintain the correct target debt levels for a given strategy. Can only be called by the DEBT\_MANAGER role.

#### 2.2.2.6 Reporting Management

The most important functionality to account for gains and losses and adjust the vault's shares is done via the function process\_report. The function will check if the vault received assets and account for these assets as gains, query an Accountant contract for additional information on fees and refunds, and finally check if the given strategy made gains or losses since the last report. If no strategy is provided, it will check internally that any changes in the value of assets held within the vault are properly accounted for. When a profit is realized, the vault does not immediately make all profits available. Instead, it implements a profit-locking mechanism. This locks the profits and gradually unlocks them over a set period, known as the profit\_max\_unlock\_time. This approach prevents sudden spikes in the vault's price per share, maintaining stability for all depositors and mitigating MEV. In case, losses were reported, the vault burns an amount of its existing shares proportional to the realized losses, adjusting the remaining shares held by depositors accordingly. However, there are checks to ensure that the vault does not burn more shares than it holds, if losses were to be too large to be covered by the locked profits, the price per share would have a sudden drop and users shares would lose value. If a strategy was involved in generating the loss, its debt levels are also updated accordingly to reflect the reduction in assets.

### 2.2.3 Periphery

#### 2.2.3.1 Deposit Limit Module

The DepositLimit module is intended to be used by the Vault to enforce a deposit limit.

The admin role can:

- Assign or revoke the admin role to addresses using set\_admin().
- Assign or revoke the security agent role to addresses using set\_security\_agent().
- Set a maximum deposit limit to be used by the Vault using set\_deposit\_limit().

The security agent role can:

• (Un)pause deposits using set\_deposits\_paused().

The function  $available_deposit_limit()$  is a view function intended to be called by the vault that returns the available deposit limit.

- If the deposits are paused, the function will return 0.
- Otherwise,
  - If the deposit limit is max\_value(uint256), the function returns max\_value(uint256).
  - Otherwise, it returns the difference between the deposit limit and the totalAssets() of the vault.

#### 2.2.3.2 Stablecoin Lens

The StablecoinLens contract only has a single view function circulating\_supply() that computes the circulating supply of crvUSD. This contract is needed since crvUSD's totalSupply() is incorrect as it takes into account all minted crvUSD, including those in the controllers or flashloans. The circulating supply is computed as the sum of the controllers' debts plus the sum of the peg keepers' debts.

#### 2.2.3.3 Rewards Handler

The RewardsHandler is used to distribute rewards for scrvUSD. Any crvUSD sent to this contract is considered donated as rewards for depositors and will not be recoverable. This contract can receive funds to be distributed from the FeeSplitter (crvUSD borrow rate revenues) as well as other sources. The amount of funds that this contract should receive from the FeeSplitter is determined by computing the time-weighted average of the crvUSD vault balance over the token's circulating supply ratio.

The contract handles the rewards in a permissionless manner; anyone can take snapshots of the TVL and distribute rewards. In case of manipulation of the time-weighted average, the contract allows the RATE\_MANAGER to correct the distribution rate of the rewards.

The permissionless entry points of the contract are:

- take\_snapshot(): Takes a snapshot of the current ratio between the deposited crvUSD in the vault and the circulating supply of crvUSD and updates the time-weighted average.
- process\_rewards(): Allows anyone to distribute the rewards to the crvUSD vault by sending the contract's crvUSD balance to the vault before calling the vault's process\_rewards() function.

The following functions are restricted to the RATE\_MANAGER role:

- set\_twa\_snapshot\_dt(): Sets the minimal frequency for taking the time-weighted average
  snapshots.
- set\_twa\_window(): Sets the time window for the time-weighted average.
- set\_distribution\_time(): Function used to correct the distribution rate of the rewards. The distribution time affects the time it takes for the vault to stream the rewards and can be used to mitigate manipulation of the time-weighted average.
- set\_minimum\_weight(): Updates the minimum weight that the contract will request from the FeeSplitter.
- set\_scaling\_factor(): Updates the scaling factor used in the weight calculation.

The following function is restricted to the LENS\_MANAGER role:

• set\_stablecoin\_lens(): Updates the address of the stablecoin lens to use in computing the circulating supply of crvUSD.

The following function is restricted to the **RECOVERY\_MANAGER** role:

• recover\_erc20(): Can be used to rescue any ERC-20 token that was sent to the contract by mistake. crvUSD is not recoverable.

Roles and access control can be managed with the functions grantRole(), revokeRole(), renounceRole() and set\_role\_admin().

### 2.2.4 Trust model and roles

The following roles are assumed to be trusted to behave honestly and correctly at all times:

- The governance of VaultFactory.
- The role\_manager and all other roles of the VaultV3.
- The admin and security\_agent roles of the DepositLimit.
- The DEFAULT\_ADMIN\_ROLE, RATE\_MANAGER, RECOVERY\_MANAGER and LENS\_MANAGER of the RewardsHandler.

The RewardsHandler is expected to be given both the Vault's REPORTING\_MANAGER and PROFIT\_UNLOCK\_MANAGER roles.

The Vault is expected to have no external strategies.

As vaults are created in a permissionless way, it is expected that only the legitimate vaults are used by the users. Namely, the underlying token should be ERC-20 compliant without behaviors such as double entry points, rebase mechanisms, transfer fees, irrational return values, or high decimals. Each token should carefully be tested before used.

The amount to be received by the RewardsHandler from the FeeSplitter can be influenced by the proportion of the crvUSD supply that is in the Vault. Although manipulations are partially mitigated by a time-weighted average, it is still possible to manipulate the rewards by sandwiching TWA snapshots with large deposits or withdrawals of crvUSD in the Vault. This is known and accepted by the Curve team; in this audit, it is not considered a vulnerability.

### 2.2.5 Changes in (Version 2)

In (Version 2), the DepositLimitModule was deprecated and removed from the codebase. Other than that, no major changes were made to the contracts, except for fixing the issues found during the audit.

# 3 Limitations and use of report

Security assessments cannot uncover all existing vulnerabilities; even an assessment in which no vulnerabilities are found is not a guarantee of a secure system. However, code assessments enable the discovery of vulnerabilities that were overlooked during development and areas where additional security measures are necessary. In most cases, applications are either fully protected against a certain type of attack, or they are completely unprotected against it. Some of the issues may affect the entire application, while some lack protection only in certain areas. This is why we carry out a source code assessment aimed at determining all locations that need to be fixed. Within the customer-determined time frame, ChainSecurity has performed an assessment in order to discover as many vulnerabilities as possible.

The focus of our assessment was limited to the code parts defined in the engagement letter. We assessed whether the project follows the provided specifications. These assessments are based on the provided threat model and trust assumptions. We draw attention to the fact that due to inherent limitations in any software development process and software product, an inherent risk exists that even major failures or malfunctions can remain undetected. Further uncertainties exist in any software product or application used during the development, which itself cannot be free from any error or failures. These preconditions can have an impact on the system's code and/or functions and/or operation. We did not assess the underlying third-party infrastructure which adds further inherent risks as we rely on the correct execution of the included third-party technology stack itself. Report readers should also take into account that over the life cycle of any software, changes to the product itself or to the environment in which it is operated can have an impact leading to operational behaviors other than those initially determined in the business specification.

# 4 Terminology

For the purpose of this assessment, we adopt the following terminology. To classify the severity of our findings, we determine the likelihood and impact (according to the CVSS risk rating methodology).

- Likelihood represents the likelihood of a finding to be triggered or exploited in practice
- Impact specifies the technical and business-related consequences of a finding
- Severity is derived based on the likelihood and the impact

We categorize the findings into four distinct categories, depending on their severity. These severities are derived from the likelihood and the impact using the following table, following a standard risk assessment procedure.

Likelihood	Impact		
	High	Medium	Low
High	Critical	High	Medium
Medium	High	Medium	Low
Low	Medium	Low	Low

As seen in the table above, findings that have both a high likelihood and a high impact are classified as critical. Intuitively, such findings are likely to be triggered and cause significant disruption. Overall, the severity correlates with the associated risk. However, every finding's risk should always be closely checked, regardless of severity.

# **5** Findings

In this section, we describe any open findings. Findings that have been resolved have been moved to the Resolved Findings section. The findings are split into these different categories:

- Design: Architectural shortcomings and design inefficiencies
- Correctness: Mismatches between specification and implementation

Below we provide a numerical overview of the identified findings, split up by their severity.

Critical-Severity Findings	0
High-Severity Findings	0
Medium-Severity Findings	0
Low-Severity Findings	2
a Incorrect Event (Advanded	

- Incorrect Event (Acknowledged)
- previewDeposit() Does Not Adhere to EIP-4626 (Acknowledged)

# 5.1 Incorrect Event

Correctness Low Version 2 Acknowledged

CS-CURVE\_SCRVUSD-015

In \_process\_report(), in case the vault is reporting on itself, and the following conditions are met:

- No gains nor losses were accrued.
- The accountant emitted some non-zero refunds.

The StrategyReported event will incorrectly report the current\_debt as it will not include the refunds, although they were added to total\_idle.

#### Acknowledged

Curve acknowledged the issue.

# 5.2 previewDeposit() Does Not Adhere to EIP-4626 Design Low Version 1 Acknowledged

CS-CURVE\_SCRVUSD-002

When calling previewDeposit() with max\_value(uint256), max\_value(uint256) is returned. However, doing the same for deposit() will mint shares for the asset balance of the depositor. Meanwhile, according to EIP-4626, previewDeposit() MUST return as close to and no more than the exact amount of Vault shares that would be minted in a deposit call in the same transaction. I.e. deposit should return the same or more shares as previewDeposit if called in the same transaction.

#### Acknowledged

Curve is aware of the issue and decided to keep the code unchanged.

# 6 Resolved Findings

Here, we list findings that have been resolved during the course of the engagement. Their categories are explained in the Findings section.

Below we provide a numerical overview of the identified findings, split up by their severity.

Critical-Severity Findings	0
High-Severity Findings	0
Medium-Severity Findings	1

Variable Override Code Corrected

Low-Severity Findings	0
Informational Findings	3

- Function Could Be Marked as Pure Code Corrected
- Misleading Documentation Code Corrected
- Missing Events Code Corrected

# 6.1 Variable Override

Correctness Medium (Version 1) Code Corrected

CS-CURVE\_SCRVUSD-001

In case total\_refunds is non-zero in VaultV3.\_process\_report() for the case that the vault is reporting itself, self.total\_idle is increased by total\_refunds but later overridden by setting it to total\_assets. This means that when calling process\_report(self) on a vault with both a gain and a non-zero total\_refund the vault total\_idle balance will be updated incorrectly.

When total\_refunds is non-zero, shares are minted (or not burned if there were shares to be burned) for that refund and are supposed to be locked.

```
# Get the amount we will lock to avoid a PPS increase.
if gain + total_refunds > 0 and profit_max_unlock_time != 0:
    shares_to_lock = self._convert_to_shares(gain + total_refunds, Rounding.ROUND_DOWN)
```

Later, the refunds are pulled from the accountant and total\_idle is updated given the new shares have to be backed by assets in total\_idle to keep the pps constant (as the share are to be locked):

```
# Pull refunds
if total_refunds > 0:
    # Transfer the refunded amount of asset to the vault.
    self._erc20_safe_transfer_from(_asset, accountant, self, total_refunds)
    # Update storage to increase total assets.
    self.total_idle += total_refunds
```

However, if there were gain, total\_idle is overwritten with total\_assets which is the balance of the contract before pulling the refunds.

```
# Record any reported gains.
if gain > 0:
    # /no/: this will increase total_assets
    current_debt = unsafe_add(current_debt, gain)
    if strategy != self:
        self.strategies[strategy].current_debt = current_debt
        self.total_debt += gain
    else:
        self.total_idle = total_assets
```

This means that shares were minted but were not backed by assets, meaning that the pps is smaller than it should be, although there was no loss, and if the shares to be unlocked is small it could even be that the pps is decreasing.

#### Code corrected:

total\_idle is now accounted correctly.

# 6.2 Function Could Be Marked as Pure

Informational Version 1 Code Corrected

CS-CURVE\_SCRVUSD-004

In VaultV3, apiVersion() is a view function, but it could be marked as pure.

#### Code corrected:

The function was declared pure.

### 6.3 Misleading Documentation

Informational Version 1 Code Corrected

CS-CURVE\_SCRVUSD-006

- 1. In VaultV3, the function set\_role(), add\_role() and remove\_role() are misleading as they suggest to only be able to set, add or remove a role, respectively, although they can in theory add or remove multiple roles at once.
- 2. In VaultV3, update\_debt()'s comment states that it returns the amount of debt added or removed, while it returns the new amount of debt for the given strategy.
- 3. In StablecoinLens, the documentation of \_circulating\_supply() states the following, although the internal function is exposed via the external function circulating\_supply():

This function is not exposed as external as it can be easily manipulated and should not be used by third party contracts.

#### Code corrected:

All documentation has been updated to reflect the actual behavior of the functions.



# 6.4 Missing Events

Informational Version 1 Code Corrected

CS-CURVE\_SCRVUSD-007

Several state changing functions do not emit events.

- 1. All functions in DepositLimitModule.
- 2. VaultV3.transfer\_role\_manager().
- 3. VaultV3.shutdown\_vault() does not emit the RoleSet event, although it changes the roles of the message sender.
- 4. setName() and setSymbol() in the VaultV3.

#### Code corrected:

- 1. The DepositLimitModule contract was removed from the codebase.
- 2. An event UpdateFutureRoleManager is now emitted.
- 3. Does emit the RoleSet now.
- 4. Curve informed us this is intentional.

# 7 Informational

We utilize this section to point out informational findings that are less severe than issues. These informational issues allow us to point out more theoretical findings. Their explanation hopefully improves the overall understanding of the project's security. Furthermore, we point out findings which are unrelated to security.

### 7.1 Choice of profit\_max\_unlock\_time

(Informational) Version 1) Acknowledged

CS-CURVE SCRVUSD-014

In case the vault's profit\_max\_unlock\_time is not carefully chosen and too small, it could be that users are able to game the profit distribution by exiting the vault when no profit is being distributed and rejoin the vault after the RewardsHandler deposited new profits. Hence, the variable should be carefully chosen to mitigate this behavior.

#### Acknowledged

Curve acknowledged the issue.

7.2 Code Style Informational Version 1 Acknowledged

CS-CURVE\_SCRVUSD-003

The codebase uses both snake case and sometimes camelCase for function names. While camelCase is required for function defined in EIPs like EIP20 or EIP4626. Some functions in the codebase are defined in snake\_case like set\_auto\_allocate while others are defined in camelCase like setName although they are not defined in EIPs.

#### Acknowledged

The Yearn team anwsered:

Camel case is used for both standardized functions from EIP's as well as functions who are mirrored in the V3 TokenizedStrategy interface to assure consistency across both vault implementations. Then snake\_case is used for functions only used in the VaultV3 contracts.

## 7.3 Gas Optimizations

(Informational) Version 1) Code Partially Corrected

CS-CURVE\_SCRVUSD-005

The following optimizations were identified in the codebase:

#### DepositLimitModule

1. In available\_deposit\_limit(), max\_deposit\_limit is read from the storage three times in certain cases, it could be cached.

2. In available\_deposit\_limit(), self.max\_deposit\_limit - vault\_balance could be
 unchecked.

#### TWA

- 1. In \_take\_snapshot(), the two conditions in len(self.snapshots) == 0 and self.last\_s
  napshot\_timestamp + self.min\_snapshot\_dt\_seconds <= block.timestamp could
  be swapped to avoid evaluating the first condition in most cases.</pre>
- 2. In \_compute(), except for the first one, each iteration reads self.snapshots[i\_backwards + 1] from storage, although it was already read in the previous iteration. It could be cached.
- 3. In \_compute(), len(self.snapshots) is read twice from storage, it could be cached.

#### StablecoinLens

1. In \_circulating\_supply(), factory.controllers(3) is called twice, once to query its monetary policy, and once for its total debt, it could be cached.

#### VaultV3

- 1. In \_revoke\_strategy(), loss could be declared inside the if statement to save in memory expansion cost.
- 2. In both add\_role() and remove\_role(), self.roles[account] is loaded from storage twice, it could be cached.
- 3. Some state variables that are anyway always used together like full\_profit\_unlock\_date and last\_profit\_update could be packed.
- 4. In \_\_redeem(), the subtraction in current\_total\_idle += (assets\_to\_withdraw loss) could be made unsafe.
- 5. In buy\_debt(), self.strategies[strategy].current\_debt -= \_amount load the debt from storage while it was already cached in memory earlier in the function.
- 6. In buy\_debt(), when logging the DebtUpdated event, the subtraction current\_debt \_amount could be made unsafe.
- 7. In \_update\_debt(), the subtraction in the following code could be made unsafe:

```
if withdrawn < assets_to_withdraw and max_loss < MAX_BPS:
    # Make sure the loss is within the allowed range.
    assert assets_to_withdraw - withdrawn <= assets_to_withdraw * max_loss / MAX_BPS, "too much loss"</pre>
```

#### Code partially corrected:

- The DepositLimitModule was removed from the codebase.
- TWA optimizations were implemented except for 2.
- StablecoinLens optimizations were not implemented to improve readability.
- All gas optimizations were adopted for the VaultV3 except no. 3.

# 7.4 Missing Sanity Checks

Informational (Version 1) (Acknowledged)

#### CS-CURVE\_SCRVUSD-008

• In DepositLimitModule, the constructor and all setters do not perform any sanity checks on the provided arguments.

- In StablecoinLens, the constructor does not perform any sanity checks on the factory.
- In RewardsHandler, the constructor does not perform any sanity checks on the \_stablecoin, \_vault and admin addresses.
- In VaultV3, update\_debt does not check if the provided strategy is a valid strategy, although providing an invalid strategy should have no effect in the current system.

#### Acknowledged

Curve acknowledged the issue.

## 7.5 Missing implements Statement

(Informational) (Version 1) (Acknowledged)

CS-CURVE\_SCRVUSD-009

The StablecoinLens contract could implement the IStablecoinLens interface to ensure that the implementation matches the interface.

#### Acknowledged

Curve acknowledged the issue.

# 7.6 Spamming Snapshots

(Informational) (Version 1) (Acknowledged)

CS-CURVE\_SCRVUSD-010

The RewardsHandler() is initialized with a TWA window of 1 week and a minimum time delta between snapshot of 600 seconds. Spamming snapshots every 600 seconds is possible, although costly in gas. That would mean creating 1008 measurements in a week. Simulations show that this would lead calls to RewardsHandler.weight() to consumes between 5 and 6 million gas. Care should be taken when modifying either the twa\_window or the min\_snapshot\_dt\_seconds as larger window or smaller time delta would increase the maximum gas cost of the function, which it could lead to a situation where the gas cost of the function is too high regarding the gas limit of a block, leading to a denial-of-service attack on the system by spamming snapshots.

#### Acknowledged

Curve acknowledged the issue.

### 7.7 StablecoinLens High Gas Cost Informational (Version 1) (Acknowledged)

CS-CURVE\_SCRVUSD-011

The function StablecoinLens.\_circulating\_supply() will require a high amount of gas, which is proportional to the amount of controller and pegkeeper in the system.



- Given that the function is called when taking a snapshot via the RewardsHandler, it could be that users have not enough incentive to take snapshots, as they don't own enough shares of the vault, and/or the rewards from the stablecoin markets are too low to cover the gas cost.
- As the number of controllers and pegkeepers increases, it could be that the gas cost of the function is too high regarding the gas limit of a block.

As such, care should be taken that there are enough incentives for users to take snapshots, and that the amount of controllers and pegkeepers is such that the gas cost of the function is acceptable.

#### Acknowledged

Curve acknowledged the issue.

# 7.8 \_update\_debt() Does Not Check That the Strategy Is Valid

(Informational) (Version 1) (Acknowledged)

CS-CURVE\_SCRVUSD-012

In \_update\_debt(), the function does not check that the strategy to update the debt for is valid. While it is not an issue in the current implementation as the invalid strategy's will have a null max\_debt and current\_debt values, it is a good practice to check that the strategy is valid before updating the debt.

#### Acknowledged

Curve acknowledged the issue.

# 7.9 permit Will Always Succeed for Address 0X29

(Informational)(Version 1)(Acknowledged)

CS-CURVE\_SCRVUSD-013

Due to a known vulnerability in Vyper v0.3.7, the ecrecover precompile does not fill the output buffer if the signature does not verify, and instead some dirty bytes could be read.

In the case of the VaultV3, the dirty bytes happen to be 0x29, the storage slot of the nonces HashMap. Meaning that calling the permit function with this as owner will always succeed, independently of the provided signature.

#### Acknowledged

(S

Curve acknowledged the issue.

# 8 Notes

We leverage this section to highlight further findings that are not necessarily issues. The mentioned topics serve to clarify or support the report, but do not require an immediate modification inside the project. Instead, they should raise awareness in order to improve the overall understanding.

# 8.1 Accountant Reports

#### Note Version 1

In VaultV3.\_process\_report() the accountant might report total\_fees. The fees are not a percentage but an absolute value. If this value exceeds the profits, the fees could reduce the price per share for users. Which user might circumvent by front-running the report processing.

# 8.2 Fee Share Distributed Might Be Worth Less or More Than the Intended Fee

#### Note Version 1

Fees are paid in shares not assets at the beginning of the report processing. Hence, in case the function later changes the price per share, the fee shares might be worth more or less than the intended fees to be taken.

- 1. In case of profit\_max\_unlock\_time == 0, the profits are not locked and the price per share increases immediately, this means that if x assets were asked as fees, and the fee receiver received Y shares, immediately after the call to process\_rewards, convert\_to\_share(X) > Y and the receiver would have received more than the intended fees.
- 2. Similarly, if there was to be losses that could not be covered by the locked profits, the price per share would decrease, and the fees would be worth less than the intended fees.

# 8.3 Fees Accumulate Compounded Interest

#### Note (Version 1)

Currently, fees are calculated based on an absolute amount of assets asked by the accountant. But shares are minted for these fees. Consequently, these shares will participate and get another share of the next reward payments. The amount paid in fees is therefore not a constant percentage but compounding over time.

### 8.4 Manipulation of Weight Supply Note (Version 1)

The snapshots made by calling RewardsHandler.take\_snapshot() can easily be manipulated by taking large loans, making large deposits in or withdraw from the vault. Although the time weighted average partially mitigates this issue, it should be noted that malicious actors can perform all aforementioned actions with flashloans. This means that in theory, that by manipulating snapshots over time, the malicious actor will control more and more of the TWA. This is known and acknowledged by the Curve team, and the function set\_distribution\_time() can be used to mitigate an ongoing attack.